

or on occasion even cruel and unkind, than  
inferior, according to their <sup>own</sup> better knowledge to  
their <sup>wiser</sup> will. My principles of Political  
Economy were stated in a single ~~sentences~~ <sup>all involved,</sup> ~~phases~~  
at Manchester <sup>phrase spoken three years ago at</sup> - "Soldiers of the Plough  
as well as Soldiers of the Sword" and  
they were all summed in <sup>a single</sup> ~~one~~ sentence  
in the last volume of Modern Painters - was  
"Government and cooperation are in all  
things the Laws of Life, whereas  
competition <sup>is</sup> the Laws of Death."

<sup>But so far as</sup> <sup>the subject of the work in which</sup> their general principles affect  
the <sup>secure</sup> possession of Property, so far as I am  
convinced, that security, that the whole  
gist of their papers will be found ultimately  
to depend <sup>as</sup> on extension in its range; and

It has long been known and declared that  
the poor have no right to <sup>the Property of the Rich</sup> ~~steal~~ ~~the~~ ~~Property~~  
from the Rich <sup>But</sup> I wish it also to be  
<sup>known & declared</sup> <sup>understood</sup> that the Rich have no right  
to <sup>the Property of</sup> ~~steal~~ ~~from~~ the Poor.

But <sup>that</sup> ~~so far as~~ <sup>the final</sup> <sup>results</sup> application of these  
principles to the working of the system which  
I have undertaken to develop

insert not from #15

and